

Evaluation of ESP performance via its Index value

Yanjie Feng¹, Jianguo Wang², Binwei Zhang¹, and Keping Yan³

1: Thermal Power Research Institute

2: Beijing Thermal Corp Limit, Beijing

3 : Zhejiang University , Hangzhou 310007, China

e-mail: kyan@zju.edu.cn

Abstract This paper discusses ESP performance via the so-called ESP index value. Particle emission, ESP sizing and power condition can be evaluated simultaneously. Based on our fine particle grade collection efficiency investigations, a cost-effective ESP can be designed when the index value for each field is around 200-260 (kV/cm)²m²/m³/s.

Introduction

Almost all industrial electrostatic precipitators (ESP) and their collection efficiency have been designed according to the revised Deutsch equation:

$$\eta = 1 - \exp(-\sqrt{\omega s}) \quad (1)$$

where ω and s are particle migration velocity (cm/s) and specific collection area (m²/m³/s), respectively. Its effectiveness for sizing ESP, predicating fine particle collection and upgrading power sources, however, can't be easily achieved for Chinese ESPs when switching coal or achieving an new emission limit.

Results and Discussions

Based on our more than 50 ESP upgrading experiences with 200-600 MW coal-fired power plants, this paper discusses ESP performance in terms of the so-called ESP index value, i.e.

$E_a \cdot E_p \cdot s$, where, E_a and E_p are average and peak electric fields (kV/cm), respectively. The collection efficiency and/or the outlet mass emission can be well approximated as:

$$\log m = -\alpha E_a \cdot E_p \cdot s + \log(\beta \cdot M_0) \quad (2)$$

where m and M_0 , α and β are outlet and inlet particle concentrations, and system coefficients, respectively. For achieving 10-20mg/m³ emission, the index value need to be around 1000-1400 (kV/cm)²(m²/m³/s) for matching up to 40% ash composition. The proposed formula can be used for single-phase, three-phase, switch mode and pulsed power sources, and also various kinds of coal in China [1,2]. Figure 1 gives a summary of the emission of Chinese-coal fired power plants via the index value. All industrial measurements can be very well approximated by the equation (2). In fact, the equation (2) has been used for upgrading more than 50 industrial ESPs.




Fig.1. Emission via the ESP index

For coals with upto 40% of ash, the relationship is close to the top line L3. For coals with 10% of ash, it is the lower line L1. For most of power plants, it can be well approximated by using the middle line L2. These industrial ESP guidelines have been widely applied in China. More than 50 ESPs have been upgraded recently to match our new national standards. In order to keep low emission, we suggest that Chinese power plants need to design their ESP with a minimum index value of $1300 \text{ (kV/cm)}^2 \text{ (m}^2 \text{/m}^3 \text{/s)}$, where its index for each electrical field is around 200-260 $\text{(kV/cm)}^2 \text{ (m}^2 \text{/m}^3 \text{/s)}$.

The equation (2) can also be used for evaluating fine particle grade collection efficiencies [3]. For each field, the grade collection efficiency greatly depends on the index value. To achieve a efficiency of larger than 95% in-between rapping, the index value for each field needs to be larger than $260 \text{ (kV/cm)}^2 \text{ (m}^2 \text{/m}^3 \text{/s)}$.

With regard to our ESP upgrading at the Beijing Thermal Corp Limit, the Index was designed to be around $1000 \text{ (kV/cm)}^2 \text{ (m}^2 \text{/m}^3 \text{/s)}$ for a four-fields ESP. Its emission is around $5\text{-}10 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$, which is the first time in China to achieve such lower emission.

Conclusion

A new empirical relationship between particle emission, ESP size and power source has been widely applied in China for sizing and upgrading ESPs to match our new national emission standard. The best result for 220MW coal fired power plant, the emission is around $5\text{-}10 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$ with a ESP collection area of $83 \text{ m}^2 \text{/m}^3 \text{/s}$.

Acknowledgement

We think Chinese 863 fund for their support.

References

[1] X. Li, et al, "Sensitivity analysis on the maximum ash resistivity in terms of its

compositions and gaseous water concentration", Journal of Electrostatics , 70 (2012) 83-90 ;

[2] B. Zhang, et al, "Industrial applications of three-phase T/R for upgrading ESP performance", in Electrostatic Precipitation edited by K Yan, 2008, ISBN 978-3-540-89250-2, pp.276-280.

[2] J. Zhu, et al, "Effects of high-voltage power sources on fine particle collection efficiency with an industrial electrostatic precipitator", Journal of Electrostatics 70 (2012) 285-291.